UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

QUENTRELL E. WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff,

v. Case No. 15-cv-21

AMY ZIRBEL, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment on March 15, 2016. On May 9, 2016, I ordered plaintiff to respond to defendants' motion on or before May 23, 2016. That same day, plaintiff mailed a letter to the court, asking me if he could have until May 15, 2016, to file his response. Because I had already extended the deadline beyond the date he requested, I deny his request for an extension as moot. In any case, court records reveal that, as of May 31, 2016, plaintiff has not filed a response to defendants' motion.

I previously explained to plaintiff that parties are expected to comply with the procedures and dates specified in the rules and in my orders. I also advised plaintiff that if he failed to file a response to defendants' summary judgment materials by the deadline, his case would be subject to dismissal with prejudice for lack of diligence in prosecuting it.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for an extension of time (Docket #68) is **DENIED** as moot.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this case is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE, pursuant to Civil L.R. 41(c), based on plaintiff's failure to diligently prosecute it. Judgment will be entered accordingly.

Dated at Milwaukee, Wisconsin, this 1st day of June, 2016.

s/ Lynn Adelman

LYNN ADELMAN
District Judge